

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Serial No. _____

Appn. Filed : _____

Inventors: Igor Gurevich, Viktor Faibishenko, Nikolai Fedyakin, Shinkyo Kaku, Leonid Velikov

Applicant:

Appn. Title: BIDIRECTIONAL OPTICAL SIGNAL

MULTIPLEXER/DEMULTIPLEXER

Examiner/GAU: _____

Mailed: 07.24.03
At: San Carlos CA 94070

Information Disclosure Statement

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

P.O.Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Attached is a completed Form PTO-1449 and copies of the pertinent parts of the references cited thereon. Following are comments on references pursuant to Rule 98:

US Patent No. 6,252,719 issued on January 26, 2001 to B. R. Eichenbaum describes a multiplexing/demultiplexing module. 1 in the form of a beam splitter/combiner unit with mirrors and coatings. In addition to a complexity of the construction and difficulties in alignment, this is unsuitable for transmitting/receiving different optical signals through the same channels in mutually opposite directions. In other words, the aforementioned units cannot be used in conjunction with bidirectional optical signal transceivers of the types disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 6,075, 635 issued on June 13, 2000 to T. Butrie, et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,485,538 issued on January 16, 1996 to T. Bowen, et. al, and in U.S. Patent Application No. 10/1074346 filed on 02/12/02 by Igor Gurevich, et al.

U.S. Patent No. 5,005,935 issued on April 9, 1991 to T. Kunikane, et al. discloses a wavelength-division multiplexing optical transmission system, which transmits light of wavelengths $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ ($\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$) by way of a single optical fiber. An optical multiplexer/demultiplexer of the filter type is used which includes a parallelogram prism, a first filter formed on a side face of the parallelogram prism, and second and third filters formed on the opposite side face of the parallelogram. Bidirectional optical fiber communications between the central telephone exchange side and a subscriber side can be achieved using such optical multiplexer/demultiplexer of the filter type. However, similar to the previously criticized module, the parallelogram prism module of U.S. Patent No. 5,005,935 also cannot be used in optical fiber communications systems, which utilize bidirectional transceivers having individual channels working in a transceiving and receiving modes simultaneously.

U.S. Patent No. 6,167,171 issued on December 26, 2000 to M. Grasis, et al. and U.S. Patent No. 6,198,857 issued on March 6, 2000 to M. Grasis, et al. both relate to optical multiplexing devices based on the use of optical prisms with filters and mirrors formed on external surfaces of the prisms.

Thus, U.S. Patent No. 6,167,171 describes an optical multiplexing device comprising multiple wavelength division multiplexers cascaded together. In its form as described and shown in the specification of aforementioned U.S. Patent No. 6,167,171, the module disclosed in this patent cannot be used in conjunction with an optical fiber communications system that utilizes bidirectional transceivers with individual channels working in a transceiving and receiving modes simultaneously. The second patent, i.e., U.S. Patent No. 6,198,857, also relates to an optical multiplexing device for multiplexing optical signals, for example, for a fiber-optic telecommunication system employing wavelength division multiplexing. This device is an add/drop type device which has a filter assembly defining a light path, preferably a multi-bounce zigzag expanded beam light path, from a common port at least to a first channel port and then a second. The device described in this patent possesses the same disadvantages as all the previously analyzed references.

Thus, none of the references described above discloses, as Claimed in our independent Claim 1 with dependent Claims 2-13, as well as in independent Claim 14 with dependent Claims 15 through 17, an optical signal multiplexer/demultiplexer capable of multiplexing/demultiplexing optical signals of different wavelengths transmitted and received simultaneously in opposite directions through the same channels and in conjunction with the use of bidirectional optical transceivers. Furthermore, none of the references mentioned above discloses, as claimed in our independent Claim 18 with dependent Claims 19 through 21, a method of multiplexing/demultiplexing optical signals of different wavelengths transmitted and received simultaneously in opposite directions through the same channels and in conjunction with the use of bidirectional optical transceivers.

Respectfully,


Applicants

Leonid Velikov

1371 Greenbrier Road

San Carlos, CA 94070

Tel. 650-591-8107

Four handwritten signatures are stacked vertically on the right side of the page. The signatures are in black ink and appear to be cursive or stylized. The top signature is the most legible, followed by the second, third, and fourth which become increasingly abstract.

March 19/2003

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO		Complete if Known	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT		Application Number	
		Filing Date	
		First Named Inventor	Igor Gurevich
		Art Unit	
		Examiner Name	
(Use as many sheets as necessary)		Attorney Docket Number	
Sheet		of	

U. S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Document Number Number-Kind Code ² (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
		US- 6252719	2001	R. Eichenbaum	
		US- 6075635	2000	T. Butrie et al.	
		US- 5485538	1996	T. Bowen et al.	
		US- 5005935	1991	T. Kunikane et al.	
		US- 6167171	2000	M. Grasis et al.	
		US- 6,198,857	2000	M. Grasis et al.	
		US-			
		US-			
		US-			
		US-			
		US-			
		US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Foreign Patent Document Country Code ³ Number ⁴ Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁶

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.